Peripartum cardiomyopathy is a relatively rare but life-threatening disease.\textsuperscript{1,2,3} It is described as the development of heart failure of unknown etiology occurring during pregnancy or within five months of postpartum period.\textsuperscript{1,2,3} It has an incidence of 1:1300 to 1:4000 live births with an overall mortality rate of 25-30%.\textsuperscript{4} Mortality and morbidity rates can be higher in subsequent pregnancies if left ventricular dimensions have not been normalized between 6-12 months postpartum.\textsuperscript{5} Those patients with persistent cardiomegaly at the onset of next pregnancy show 60% mortality and 80% morbidity rates.\textsuperscript{6}

Therefore patients with an established diagnosis of peripartum cardiomyopathy, are advocated not to conceive, because of an increased risk of recurrence with the worsening signs and symptoms.\textsuperscript{7} However if such patients come for a subsequent pregnancy, they definitely pose a challenge to their anaesthetic management. We report a case of peripartum cardiomyopathy requiring an emergency cesarean section which was successfully managed under an epidural anaesthesia.

Methods

A 28 year old female G2P1 was admitted in Obstetrics and Gynaec department with a history of leaking for which she was planned for emergency cesarean section about 20 days ahead of her expected date of delivery.

Past history of patient revealed her first pregnancy 1½ year earlier, complicated by pre-eclampsia for which she had undergone LSCS and delivered a live healthy male baby.

Her past history also revealed that 40 days after her first cesarean section, she had come with the complaints of pain in left side of chest of one hour duration and was subjected to an echo and a diagnosis of peripartum cardiomyopathy was established.

The echo findings at the time of diagnosis were a global hypokinesia and mild mitral regurgitation with an ejection fraction of 35%. Her ECG revealed sinus tachycardia. Patient was being regularly followed up in cardiology department.
where she was put on tab. Digoxin 0.25mg BD, tab. Lasilactone 1 OD (a combination of Frusemide 20mg and Spironolactone 50mg).

Another follow up was done in cardiology department during her second trimester where her repeat echo revealed a dilated left ventricle and borderline left ventricular dysfunction with an ejection fraction of 48%. During her third trimester nearly ½ month before her EDD, patient had again come with pain in the left side of chest for which cardiology consultation was sought. Tab. Betaloc (metaprolol) 12.5mg BD was added to her existing treatment.

On arrival in operating room, patient was asymptomatic on drugs with a heart rate of 90/minute and a blood pressure of 150/90mmHg. On auscultation, chest was bilaterally clear with no added sounds. Her Hb was 10gms and BT, CT of 23” and 6’45” respectively. Cardiologist was requested to stay in operating room during the procedure.

Emergency cesarean section along with ligation was planned for the patient. The condition of patient as discussed with the surgeons could permit us to give epidural drug in increments. An iv access was secured with an 18g peripheral venous cannula.

All the resuscitation equipment and the emergency drugs like Dopamine, Dobutamine, Nitroglycerine and Isoprenaline were kept standby. Patient was connected to a continuous ECG monitor, pulse oximeter and a noninvasive blood pressure monitor.

An epidural catheter was inserted at L3-4 level in sitting position through an 18 gauge Weis-Winged needle and fixed at 11cms at skin. A test dose of 3ml of Xylocaine without Adrenaline was administered to confirm the correct placement. This was followed by 15ml of 0.5% Bupivacaine administered in increments to prevent any sudden hemodynamic instability. As advised by the cardiologist 20mg of Frusemide and 150ml of crystalloid were given to the patient in the beginning. The adequacy of epidural block was ensured upto T7 level. After ensuring adequacy of the block, surgeon was asked to proceed. To facilitate uterine contraction, 20 units of Oxytocin were infused slowly and additional 20mg of Frusemide were given after the delivery. Patient delivered a live healthy male baby with normal Apgar score and 3kg weight.

There was no disturbance in heart rate or rhythm and blood pressure remained stable intra-operatively. Heart rate was maintained between 80-100/minute and blood pressure remained around 130/80mmHg. A top up dose of 4ml of 0.25% bupivacaine was administered at the time of shifting the patient.

As advised by the cardiologist, fluid intake was restricted to 1-1.5 litres per 24 hours. Chest pain was relieved. Thereafter, patient remained asymptomatic with acceptable heart rate and rhythm and a stable blood pressure. Patient was discharged after 8 days on cardiology follow up with the following treatment, tab. Metaprolol 25mg OD, tab. Lasilactone 1 OD and tab Digoxin 0.25mg OD.

Discussion
Peripartum cardiomyopathy is defined by the presence of four criteria. These include a) Development of cardiac failure in the last month of pregnancy or within five months of delivery, b) absence of an identifiable cause of cardiac failure, c) absence of any recognizable heart disease prior to last month of pregnancy, d) left ventricular systolic dysfunction demonstrated by echocardiographic criteria such as depressed ejection fraction.\(^3\) Stricter echocardiographic criteria have been recommended such as left ventricular ejection fraction of less than 45%, fractional shortening of less than 30% of an M-mode echocardiographic scan or both and a left ventricular end-diastolic dimension of more than 2.7cm per square metre of body surface area.\(^9\)

Risk factors attributed to the development of postpartum cardiomyopathy include, multigravida, multiple gestations, pregnancy induced hypertension, pre-eclampsia and older women with multiple previous pregnancies.\(^3\)

Our case fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for peripartum cardiomyopathy with the signs and symptoms developing 40 days after previous pregnancy, absence of any underlying heart disease during that period, echo findings showing global hypokinesia, left ventricular systolic dysfunction with an ejection fraction of 35%. Besides, she had an associated risk factor of pre-eclampsia during her previous pregnancy.

Parturients with peripartum cardiomyopathy require special care during labour and delivery. The cardiovascular stress of labour and delivery may lead to cardiac decompensation. Anaesthesiologist may need to infuse vasoactive drugs like Nitroglycerine or Nitroprusside for preload and afterload reduction and Dopamine, Dobutamine or Milrinone for inotropic support.\(^10\)

The goals during management of anaesthesia in such patients come for cesarean section, whether elective or emergency are\(^10\):
1. To minimize the stress of the patient.
2. Avoidance of drug induced myocardial depression.
3. Avoid increase in afterload.

General anaesthetic techniques involve the use of either intravenous induction agents such as sodium thiopentone with cardio-depressent action or inhalational agents which do not guarantee heamodynamic stability.\(^8\)

Regional anaesthesia for these patients is a preferred technique and should be considered in these patients in a
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similar way as with the other types of cardiac failure.9

Subarachnoid block should be better avoided in these patients because of sudden onset of haemodynamic instability associated with it.8

Epidural anaesthesia is a preferred and better choice particularly when incremental doses of local anaesthetics are to be administered.9 In fact the sympathectomy induced afterload reduction that occurs with epidural anaesthesia can contribute to the improvement in myocardial performance in these patients.11

With an epidural catheter in place, a gradual and controlled induction of anaesthesia may improve myocardial performance and cardiac output by decreasing SVR, thus reducing the afterload on left ventricle without impairing myocardial contractility.8

Our decision to give bupivacaine in increments was to prevent any sudden haemodynamic instability. The choice of non-invasive monitoring was made keeping in view, the emergent nature of cesarean section and non-handyness of the equipment and also because of concern of subjecting an otherwise asymptomatic patient, though on drugs, to the potential risks of invasive monitoring. However, a strict vigil was kept over the haemodynamic alterations, heart rate and rhythm and oxygenation of the patient with appropriate monitoring aids. Syntocinon after the delivery was used as a slow infusion to prevent sudden vasodilatation resulting in hypotension and tachycardia. This also helped in reducing the afterload and maintaining haemodynamic stability.

Conclusion

Carefully administered epidural anaesthesia is a better option for patients with peripartum cardiomyopathy undergoing cesarean section. It avoids the stress of general anaesthesia and the use of cardio-depressant drugs. It improves myocardial performance by reducing the left ventricular afterload. It is preferred over spinal anaesthesia as it prevents any sudden and rapid reduction in SVR and thereby preload which might be disastrous in low cardiac output states.

Therefore in patients with peripartum cardiomyopathy coming for cesarean section, epidural anaesthesia administered in increments is a safe and convenient technique of anaesthesia.
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