Plasma Active Ghrelin Level in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and its Interrelationship with Insulin resistance, Hypertension, Dyslipidemia and Obesity

Arvind Mishra, M.D.,¹ Anupam Mehrotra, M.D.,¹ Reshu Tewari, M.B.,² SM Natsu, M.D.²

Departments of ¹Medicine and ²Pathology, C.S.M. Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Ghrelin is a multi-functional gut-derived peptide hormone. Administered ghrelin has shown an increase in appetite and food intake and also stimulate the secretion of the growth hormone in rodents as well as in humans. Ghrelin is the strongest orexigenic hormone.

OBJECTIVE: The aim of the study was to estimate plasma active Ghrelin levels in type – II diabetes mellitus patients and establish its relation to Insulin Resistance, Hypertension, Dyslipidemia and Obesity.

MATERIALS & METHODS: A total of 81 patients were enrolled in the study out of then 50 were the cases and 31 were controls.

RESULTS: A significant negative correlation was found between Fasting plasma active ghrelin levels and systolic blood pressure ($r = -0.71, p < 0.02$), diastolic blood pressure ($r = -0.64, p < 0.02$), obesity ($r = -0.71, p < 0.001$), fasting insulin levels ($r = -0.40, p < 0.01$), insulin resistance ($r = -0.32, p < 0.01$). A deeper understanding on this interesting pleiotropic hormone could be anticipated to open new insights into the metabolic pathways causing a predisposition for obesity and its co-morbidities. JMS 2011;14(2):56-60

Keywords: Ghrelin, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia

Ghrelin is a multi-functional gut-derived 28 amino acid peptide hormone.¹⁻³ Ghrelin has been shown to increase appetite and food intake and stimulate the secretion of growth hormone in rodents and in humans. This is the strongest orexigenic hormone.⁴ It circulates in body in active (acylated) and inactive (desacylated) forms of which active form is less than the inactive form.⁵ Ghrelin has been demonstrated to have effects on glucose metabolism, blood pressure, heart function and also on inflammatory processes. Based on these findings ghrelin has been proposed to be involved in the

physiology and pathophysiology of obesity and its associated co-morbidities, such as type 2 diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases.

Previous studies on ghrelin shows that ghrelin levels are lower in type 2 diabetes patients in comparison to normal patients.⁶ Plasma levels of ghrelin in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were significantly decreased compared with nonobese patients.⁷ Decreased plasma levels of active ghrelin are significantly associated with abdominal adiposity, hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients.⁸ Ghrelin concentration were negatively associated with fasting insulin, systolic, diastolic BP and the prevalence of type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance.¹⁰ Given the association of Insulin Resistance, Hypertension, Dyslipidemia and Obesity with Plasma Active Ghrelin levels in patients of type-II Diabetes Mellitus, we wanted to study whether all these association might show any type of variations in their correlation.

Correspondence and Reprint request to:

Dr. Arvind Mishra

Professor,

Department of Medicine

C.S.M. Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

Mail Address- dranupamkgmc2009@gmail.com

Ph. No- 0941 5005723, 09628509636

Materials and Methods

This Case–Control study was conducted in the Department of Medicine and Pathology, CSM Medical University, Lucknow between Jan 2009 to Feb 2010. Patients having type 2 diabetes mellitus, attending diabetes clinic and admitted in medical wards of Gandhi Memorial and Associated Hospitals of CSM Medical University, Lucknow were studied after taking their informed consent. A total of 81 patients were enrolled for the study. 50 of these were cases and 31 were controls, (controls were selected from general population not having history of diabetes and hypertension). Those in the diabetic group were divided into four subgroups which included 8 patients having type 2 diabetes only (group 1), 10 patients were having type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance (group 2), 12 patients were having type 2 diabetes, insulin resistance and hypertension (group 3) and last subgroup included 20 patients having type 2 diabetes, insulin resistance, hypertension and obesity (group 4).

In our study population of 50 diabetic patients and 31 controls, we measured Fasting blood sugars (FBS),¹¹ Postprandial blood sugars (PPBS),¹¹ lipid profile, weight, height, BMI, fasting insulin level was measured by I¹²⁵-radio immunoassay (RIA) technique using RIAK-1 kit (BARC Vashi complex, Turbhe, Mumbai),¹² fasting active Ghrelin was measured by Active Ghrelin ELISA kit (Mitsubishi Chemical Medience Corporation, TOKYO) which measures the active form of Ghrelin based on the principle of 2 site sandwich enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA),¹³ insulin resistance by HOMA-IR,¹⁴ HbA1c was measured by using ion exchange resin method.¹⁵ Circulating ghrelin includes active (acylated) and inactive (desacylated) form. In our study we estimated active form of ghrelin. Patients with type-II diabetes mellitus for the last three years in age group 25-70 years with or without treatment. Patients with Type-I diabetes mellitus and Hyperthyroidism patients were excluded from the study.

Statistical Analysis: Data analysis was done using STRATA 10.1 (College Station, Tx, USA), Two sample t-test used, if data met normally assumption otherwise, Mann Whitney U test is used. Normally all data tested by using Shapiro Wilk's test. Data were presented as mean + SD, median (IQR) and *p* value. *p* value <0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

Cases and controls were similar in baseline characteristics such as age (56.46 ± 8.9 vs 50.70 ± 13.6 years, $p=0.09$), sex composition, BMI (23.58 ± 4.13 vs 20.67 ± 2.4 kg/m², $p=0.14$), HDL (33.64 ± 13.3 vs 37.74 ± 11.7 mg/dl, $p=0.15$) and LDL (76.52 ± 37.69 vs 70.10 ± 28.7 mg/dl, $p=0.20$). Fasting blood sugars (226.4 ± 102.6 vs 88.8 ± 12.2 mg/dl, $p<0.0001$) and postprandial blood sugars (320.28 ± 118.19 vs 130.7 ± 21.21 mg/dl, $p<0.0001$) were significantly higher in cases as compared to controls (Tables 1 & 2). Mean SBP (148.16 ± 16.7 vs 125.33 ± 11.33 mmHg, $p<0.0001$) and mean DBP

(108.46 ± 12.93 vs 76.93 ± 6.9 mmHg, $p<0.0001$) were significantly higher in cases as compared to controls. Insulin resistance, measured by HOMA-IR, was higher in cases in comparison to controls (10.47 ± 8.6 vs 2.67 ± 0.8 , $p<0.0001$).

Fasting plasma active ghrelin levels were significantly lower in cases as compared to controls (11.34 ± 6.4 vs 34.46 ± 5.4 fmol/ml, $p<0.0001$). Plasma active Ghrelin levels are negatively associated with SBP ($r = -0.71$, $p<0.001$) and DBP ($r = -0.64$, $p<0.001$) in hypertensive patients. There is also an inverse association between ghrelin and fasting insulin concentrations ($r = -0.40$, $p<0.01$). Plasma active ghrelin levels were lower in patients with having insulin resistance (HOMA-IR >3.8). These findings were independent of type 2 diabetes status (15.22 ± 4.05 vs 20.98 ± 0.83 fmol/ml, $p<0.01$). Also insulin resistance is negatively correlated to ghrelin ($r = -0.32$, $p<0.05$).

Plasma active ghrelin levels were lower in obese subjects when compared to non obese subjects (4.96 ± 1.76 vs

Table 1. Comparison of Blood Variables between Cases and Controls

Parameters	Cases (n=50)	Controls (n=31)	<i>p</i> value
Age	50.7 + 13.6	56.5+8.9	0.0907
Fasting Blood Sugar	226.4±102.2	88.8±12.2	<0.0001*
Postprandial Blood Sugar	320.3±118.2	130.7±21.2	<0.0001*
HbA1c	7.92±2.12	5.78±1.40	<0.0001*
Systolic BP	148.2±16.7	125.3±11.3	<0.0001*
Diastolic BP	108.5±12.9	76.9±6.9	<0.0001*
Total Cholesterol	138.7±42.3	125.9±37.2	0.0001*
TG	171.5±111.9	119.7±44.4	0.005*
HDL	33.6±13.3	37.7±11.7	0.15
LDL	76.5±37.7	70.1±28.7	0.20
VLDL	31.2±16.1	24.0±8.7	0.019*
INSULIN	18.76±12.01	12.67±4.67	0.0016*
HOMA-IR	10.5±8.6	2.7±0.8	<0.0001*
GHRELIN	11.34±6.4	34.46±5.4	<0.0001*

*Statistically significant

Table 2. Multivariate Regression Analysis

Variable	Coefficient	95% Confidence Interval	P value
SBP	-0.183	-0.244 - -0.089	0.0187*
DBP	0.015	-0.015 - 0.002	0.894
TG	-0.0013	-0.012 - 0.008	0.79
Insulin	-0.087	-0.233 - 0.068	0.25
BMI	-0.644	-0.968 - -0.339	0.0002*
HOMA-IR	-0.003	-0.200 - 0.213	0.97

*Significant

On multivariate regression analysis of ghrelin with other variables such as SBP, DBP, BMI, TG, insulin, insulin resistance it was found that SBP and BMI were the significant factors contributing to lower levels of ghrelin.

Table 3. Correlation of Ghrelin with Variables in Cases

Variable	r'	t'	P value
FBS	-0.05	0.34	0.70
PPBS	-0.08	0.62	0.50
HbA1c	0.20	0.38	0.70
TC	-0.12	0.63	0.50
TG	-0.27	2.02	<0.05*
HDL	0.12	0.98	0.35
LDL	-0.15	0.84	0.40
VLDL	-0.20	1.49	0.20
INSULIN	-0.40	2.93	<0.01*
HOMA-IR	-0.32	2.25	<0.05*
SBP	-0.71	7.88	<0.001*
DBP	-0.64	4.00	<0.001*
BMI	-0.71	9.97	<0.001*

*Statistically significant

11.72±1.68 fmol/ml, $p < 0.0001$) after adjustment for type 2 diabetes, hypertension and insulin resistance states ($r = -0.71$, $p < 0.001$). There were significant correlations between the plasma levels of ghrelin and BMI ($r = -0.505$, $p < 0.01$), in type 2 diabetic patients. On multivariate regression analysis, it was found that SBP and BMI were the significant factors contributing to lower levels of ghrelin (Table 2). On comparing different groups among cases it was found that ghrelin levels are decreasing as the number of risk factors increases with high levels in patients having type 2 diabetes only and lowest in the group of patients having type 2 diabetes, hypertension, obesity and insulin resistance.

Out of 50 cases only 6 patients were having triglycerides >250 mg/dl. A negative correlation was found between triglycerides and ghrelin levels ($r = -0.27$, $p < 0.05$) but no inference can be drawn as only 6 patients have high triglyceride values. On multivariate regression analysis of ghrelin, it was found that BMI and SBP were the significant factors contributing to lower levels of ghrelin.

Discussion

Cases and controls were similar in baseline characteristics such as age, sex composition, BMI, HDL and LDL. Circulating ghrelin includes active (acylated) and inactive (desacylated) form. In our study we are estimating active form of ghrelin. In our study fasting plasma active ghrelin levels were significantly lower in cases as compared to controls; when ghrelin values of controls were compared with patients having type 2 diabetes only fasting ghrelin values were significantly lower in the later group (34.46 ± 5.4 vs 20.98 ± 0.83 fmol/ml, $p < 0.001$). Jang YS *et al*⁶ also conducted a study to compare the serum ghrelin concentrations between forty type 2 diabetes mellitus patients and forty normal adults and found that ghrelin levels of the type 2 diabetic group (71.1 ± 30.5 ng/L)

were significantly lower than the control group (139.7 ± 36.9 ng/L). This finding was similar to previous study but here we are measuring active ghrelin levels which varies from 1:5 to 1:10 (active: inactive) by different methods.

There is no significant difference of BMI between cases and controls. However plasma active ghrelin levels were lower in obese subjects when compared to non obese subjects after adjustment for type 2 diabetes, hypertension and insulin resistance states. Katsuki A *et al*⁷ investigated the relationship between the circulating level of active ghrelin and abdominal adiposity, serum levels of insulin or insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and measured the plasma levels of the active form of ghrelin in 18 obese and 18 nonobese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Plasma levels of ghrelin in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were significantly decreased compared with nonobese patients. There were significant correlations between the plasma levels of ghrelin and BMI in type 2 diabetic patients; decreased plasma levels of active ghrelin are significantly associated with abdominal adiposity, hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients.

Matthias Tschop *et al*⁸ measured body composition (by dual X-ray absorption) as well as fasting plasma ghrelin concentrations by radioimmunoassay in 15 Caucasians and 15 Pima Indians. Fasting plasma ghrelin was negatively correlated with percent body fat ($r = -0.45$; $p = 0.01$). Plasma ghrelin concentration was decreased in obese Caucasians as compared with lean Caucasians ($p < 0.01$). Fasting plasma ghrelin concentrations were negatively correlated with body weight ($r = -0.50$, $p < 0.01$), BMI ($r = -0.50$, $p < 0.01$). In our study our findings are in accordance to the above mentioned studies related to observed parameters.

In line with the above mentioned studies our study also demonstrated adiposity to be an important determinant of ghrelin concentrations. Therefore, the lower ghrelin concentration in type 2 diabetic subjects could be due to their higher adiposity. However, the difference remained after adjustment for insulin resistance, hypertension, type 2 diabetes. Ghrelin stimulates food intake, and reduced levels might be teleologically aimed at preventing the increase in body mass.

Insulin resistance was measured by HOMA-IR method and values were higher in cases in comparison to controls. We found that plasma active ghrelin levels to be lower in patients with having insulin resistance (HOMA-IR>3.8) and these findings were independent of type 2 diabetes status. Also insulin resistance is negatively correlated to ghrelin. Paulo Marzullo *et al*⁹ analyzed plasma active and serum total ghrelin levels in 20 obese and 20 lean subjects. In obese patients, plasma active (180 ± 18 vs. 411 ± 57 pg/ml; $p < 0.001$) and serum total ghrelin levels (3650 ± 408 vs. 5263 ± 643 pg/ml; $p < 0.05$) were significantly lower when compared with lean subjects. There was a significant correlation between active and total ghrelin ($r = 0.62$; $p < 0.001$), and between total ghrelin and insulin ($r = -0.53$; $p < 0.001$) or insulin resistance using the homeostatis model of assessment- insulin resistance

($r = -0.49; p < 0.001$) approach.

Taking in consideration the choice of method to measure insulin resistance in a population based study, out of HOMA-IR and glucose clamp technique, HOMA-IR has been extensively applied as a test to measure insulin resistance in such situations. The figure of insulin resistance obtained with this method has a relatively low reproducibility, which reflects day-to-day variability in fasting glucose and insulin, as well as analytical uncertainty. This is mainly the case for insulin levels, and a change of $1 \mu\text{U/ml}$ insulin may determine a change of up to 20% HOMA-IR. Despite this, the method proved to correlate closely with quantitative, functional tests such as the glucose clamp technique.

Mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure were significantly higher in our cases as compared to controls with a negative correlation plasma active Ghrelin levels negatively correlating with blood pressure (systolic as well as diastolic) in hypertensive patients. Seppo M Poyokko *et al*¹⁰ also found similar negative correlations of ghrelin with hypertension. The effect of hypertension on plasma active ghrelin levels remained after adjustment for type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance (11.72 ± 1.68 vs 15.22 ± 4.05 fmol/ml, $p < 0.02$). In experimental models, ghrelin exerts beneficial hemodynamic effects by decreasing the mean arterial pressure and increasing the cardiac output. The vasodilatory effects of ghrelin in vitro suggest that the mechanism is independent of the GH/IGF-1/nitric oxide axis. These findings suggest that ghrelin might have a role in the regulation of BP, especially in the hypertensive state. The low plasma active ghrelin levels in hypertensive patients were independent of diabetes and insulin resistance.

There is also an inverse association between ghrelin and fasting insulin concentrations. These findings support the view that low ghrelin could have a causative role in the development of type 2 diabetes. Low ghrelin concentrations have been associated previously with insulin resistance in subjects with polycystic ovary syndrome and in obese children and adolescents. The negative correlation between fasting ghrelin and insulin has also been reported earlier. Our findings are in accordance with these results, showing that ghrelin level is negatively associated with insulin concentrations and type 2 diabetes.

Based on current knowledge on the interplay between plasma insulin, glucose, and ghrelin, it is difficult to explain the mechanism behind the association between low ghrelin concentrations and type 2 diabetes. In adults, ghrelin administration induces hyperglycemia and decreases plasma insulin concentrations. Conversely, hyperglycemia and insulin decrease plasma ghrelin levels. Similarly, a negative correlation between ghrelin and insulin concentrations has been reported in children and adolescents. Taken together, these data suggest that ghrelin is closely associated with glucose metabolism in adults as well as in children and adolescents.

Our study has certain limitations; the small sample size could limit the power of the analyses. However, the similar

results seen by other investigators support our findings. No functional measurements of insulin response were investigated, since such measurements would have required the use of invasive and expensive techniques such as clamps. Instead, HOMA-IR evaluation provides high sensitivity and specificity for measuring insulin resistance, especially among adolescents.

In conclusion, Ghrelin is the strongest orexigenic hormone. Ghrelin circulates in body in active (acylated) and inactive (desacylated) forms of which active form is less than the inactive form. Previous studies on ghrelin shows that ghrelin levels are lower in type 2 diabetes patients in comparison to normal patients. Plasma levels of ghrelin in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were significantly decreased compared with nonobese patients and decreased plasma levels of active ghrelin are significantly associated with abdominal adiposity, hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Similar findings were shown as were observed in previous studies. Our study demonstrated a significant negative correlation between fasting plasma active ghrelin levels and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Fasting plasma active ghrelin levels were also negatively associated with obesity ($\text{BMI} > 25$) significantly. Significant negative association of fasting plasma active ghrelin levels was shown with fasting insulin levels and insulin resistance as with other studies plasma ghrelin levels were negatively associated with diabetes mellitus.

A deeper understanding on this interesting pleiotropic hormone could be anticipated to open new insights into the metabolic pathways causing a predisposition for obesity and its co-morbidities. Further studies are necessary to reveal the exact mechanism of ghrelin physiology and possible phenotypic roles for changes in ghrelin levels such as those seen with insulin resistant states. Further studies are also needed for exploring the potential clinical applications for ghrelin and other ghrelin mimetics.

References

1. Kojima M, Hosoda H, Date Y, Nakazato M, Matsuo H, Kangawa K. Ghrelin is a growth-hormone-releasing acylated peptide from stomach. *Nature* 1999;402:656-60.
2. Kojima M, Hosoda H, Kangawa K. Clinical endocrinology and metabolism: ghrelin, a novel growth-hormone-releasing and appetite-stimulating peptide from stomach. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;18:517-30.
3. Kojima M, Hosoda H, Matsuo H, Kangawa K. Ghrelin: discovery of the natural endogenous ligand for the growth hormone secretagogue receptor *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2001;12:118-22.
4. Wren AM, Seal LJ, Cohen MA *et al*. Ghrelin enhances appetite and increases food intake in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:5992.

5. Hosoda H, Kojima M, Matsuo H & Kangawa K. Ghrelin and des-acyl ghrelin: two major forms of rat ghrelin peptide in gastro-intestinal tissue. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000;279(3):909–13.
6. Jang YS, Hwang DJ, Yang YJ, Park JH, Lee DY. Serum Ghrelin Concentrations in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *J Korean Soc Pediatr Endocrinol* 2004;9(1):59-65.
7. Tschöp M, Weyer C, Tataranni PA, Devanarayan V, Ravussin E, and Heiman ML. Circulating ghrelin levels are decreased in human obesity. *Diabetes* 2001;50:707–9.
8. Katsuki A, Urakawa H, Gabazza EC, Murashima S, Nakatani K, Togashi K, Yano Y, Adachi Y, Sumida Y. Circulating levels of active ghrelin is associated with abdominal adiposity, hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2004;151(5):573-7.
9. Paolo Marzullo, Barbara Verti, Giulio Savia *et al.* The Relationship between Active Ghrelin Levels and Human Obesity Involves Alterations in Resting Energy Expenditure. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2004;89(2):936-9.
10. Seppo M. Poykko, Eija Kellokoski, Sohvi Horkko, Heikki Kauma, Y. Antero Kesaniemi and Olavi Ukkola. Low Plasma Ghrelin Is Associated With Insulin Resistance, Hypertension, and the Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes* 2003;52:2546–53.
11. Trinder P. Determination of glucose in blood using glucose oxidase with an alternative oxygen acceptor. *Ann Clin Biochem* 1969;6:24-25.
12. Wilson MA, Miles LEM. Radioimmunoassay of insulin. In: Abraham GE (ed.) *Handbook of Radioimmunoassay*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York 1977, pp275-79.
13. Matsumoto M, Hosoda H, Kitajuma Y *et al.* Structure-activity relationship of ghrelin: pharmacological study of ghrelin peptides. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2001;287:142-46
14. D. R. Matthews, J. R. Hosker, A. S. Rudenski, B. A. Naylor, D. F. Treacher and R. C. Turner. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and β -cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985;28:412-9.